

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,084	10/02/2003	Wai Lin Siew	061255-0027	7774	
9629 7	590 05/08/2006		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			PADEN, CAROLYN A		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1761		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 11 41 N					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 - 4-41 0		10/676,084	SIEW ET AL.				
Office Action Sumn	nary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Carolyn A. Paden	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If NO period for reply is specified above, the n - Failure to reply within the set or extended peri	I THE MAILING DA e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. naximum statutory period w od for reply will, by statute, ee months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in co	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending	in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	•						
6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected	_						
7) Claim(s) is/are object	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	·.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s)	including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
· ·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	0.450)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PT)	J-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/676,084

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as further evidenced by Baileys and in view of Taylor.

Lin discloses combining palm oil with unsaturated oils such as soybean oil, corn and sunflower oils in proportions of 9:1 to 7:3. The blended oils are then cooled to 20C to 3C for crystallization and then separated by filtration. Although the fatty acid content of the unsaturated oil is not mentioned in Lin, it is well known in the art to fall within the levels of linoleic, oleic and linolenic that is set forth in claim 1. Further applicant includes these oil sources as selected oils in claim 8. The claims appear to differ from Lin in the recitation of the use of heating in the crystallization process. At page 74, Taylor teaches the advisability of heating palm oil to a of at least 70C prior to cooling in order to melt all of the crystals typically found in the oil. Thus with the reference before him, it would have been obvious to heat the oil of Lin to a temperature of at least 70C in order to

form a uniform liquid blend oils for fractionation upon cooling. The filtration step of Lin is taken to be a low-pressure filter press in claim 2. The ratio of saturation and unsaturation in the fatty acids would have been an obvious function of the amount of each of the oils used in the starting blend. The crystallization would have been an obvious function of the cooling rate used in the process. Finally the used of the oils in foods would have been an obvious matter of choice with regard to the particular edible oil that was available.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/676,084

Art Unit: 1761

PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 4-23-06
PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761

Page 4